# SCHEDULE OF MATTERS FOR HEARING SESSION May 31, 2007 -- Las Vegas, Nevada

# SECTION A MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

### MDL-1835 -- In re Brian L. Roberts Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Brian L. Roberts for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

### Southern District of New York

Brian L. Roberts v. Sony Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-6337

### District of Utah

Brian L. Roberts v. Sony, et al., C.A. No. 2:04-673

#### MDL-1836 -- In re Mirapex Products Liability Litigation

Motion of defendants Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., and Pfizer Inc. for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Connecticut or other suitable United States district court:

#### Northern District of California

Therese Bottiglieri v. Pfizer Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-3248

#### District of Maryland

William David Livingston, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-1887

#### District of Minnesota

Gary Selinsky, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-873 Robert M. Zwayer, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-874

p. 2

#### MDL-1836 (Continued)

# District of Minnesota (Continued)

Michael A. Dubaich, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-875

Donald J. Nelsen v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-876

Larry Webb, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-898

Timothy Harms v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-899 Timothy L. Estep v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-900

Mary Conway v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-901 Dennis M. Scharpen, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1206

Gary E. Charbonneau, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1215

Todd R. Cain v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1582 Manuel A. Quintela, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-1675

Thaddeus R. Fayard v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2144

Hylton H. Dodd v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2145

Michael W. Averitt, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2194

William F. Courtney, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2546

Richard I. Bloom, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2577

Joyce A. Anderson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2578

Kathleen R. Frye, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-2662

Cynthia Harris, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3009

p. 3

#### MDL-1836 (Continued)

# <u>District of Minnesota</u> (Continued)

Daniel M. Hayward, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3180

Daniel F. Neal v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-3182

Peggy J. Bronson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3254

Theresa R. Seaman, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3255

Madeline J. Vingers v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3284

Irene M. Conejo v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3519

Melody S. Erickson v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3526

Alan Kite, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3527

George P. Wagner v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3537

Gordon J. Haughey, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3539

Stella C. Rush, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3544

Carl M. Milam, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3778

Floyd Wayne Kanuch v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-3839

Rick James Berger v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-4358

Mark Mayer v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4366

Resa King, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-4502

George Konrad v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-4699

Steven Purser, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-4783

p. 4

### MDL-1836 (Continued)

# <u>District of Minnesota</u> (Continued)

Barbara Goldman, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4784

James Holmes, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4785

Florene D. Saracco v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4827

Greg Stutz v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4828

Thomas M. Celorie v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

C.A. No. 0:06-4868

C.A. No. 0:06-4945

Maryann J. Deleo, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4869

William Chamberlain, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4870

Ronald P. Markel, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4871

Hilarie Pearce v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4944 Linda Michels, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.,

David Emery, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4946

Richard Scott Brown v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4947

William Gage, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4948

Carolyn Paulette Shows, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-4949

Michele C. Glancy, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:06-5123

Tza Ping Aliya Lee, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 0:07-39

p. 5

MDL-1836 (Continued)

### Western District of Missouri

Wayne Jackson, et al. v. Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-969

# Western District of Washington

Matthew Andresen, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1413

# MDL-1837 -- In re BMW Subframe Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Scott Halperin for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

# Central District of California

Eric Bacca, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 2:06-6753

#### Middle District of Florida

Frances Perrone v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 8:06-2045

# Southern District of Mississippi

Jaime Moore v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 4:06-139

# District of New Jersey

Lyndsay Alpert, et al. v. BMW of North America, LLC, C.A. No. 2:06-5198

p. 6

#### MDL-1838 -- In re TJX Companies, Inc., Customer Data Security Breach Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Julie Buckley, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

#### Northern District of Alabama

Jo Wood, et al. v. TJX, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-147

#### District of Massachusetts

Paula G. Mace v. TJX Companies, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-10162 Amerifirst Bank v. TJX Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-10169 Julie Buckley, et al. v. TJX Companies, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-10209 Thomas J. Gaydos v. TJX Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-10217

#### District of Puerto Rico

Patricia Miranda, et al. v. TJX, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-1075

# MDL-1839 -- In re Pro Tem Partners, Inc., and Semico Research Corp. Contract Litigation

Motion of Pro Tem Partners, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

#### District of Arizona

Semico Research Corp. v. Jan-Charles Fine, et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2475

#### District of Massachusetts

Pro Tem Partners, Inc. v. Semico Research Corp., C.A. No. 1:05-11822

p. 7

# MDL-1840 -- In re Motor Fuel Temperature Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of defendants Exxon Mobil Corp.; Hess Corp.; and Motiva Enterprises LLC for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

# Northern District of California

Mark Rushing, et al. v. Alon USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-7621

#### District of Kansas

Zachary Wilson, et al. v. Ampride, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2582 American Fiber & Cabling LLC., et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2053

#### Western District of Kentucky

Keen Exploration, LLC, et al. v. Amoco Oil Co., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-14

#### Western District of Missouri

Victor VanDyne v. Murphy Oil USA, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-4302 Ditzfeld Transfer, Inc. v. Pilot Travel Centers, LLC., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-4025 James Vanderbilt v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1052 Brent Donaldson, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-93

### District of New Jersey

Richard Galauski, et al. v. Amerada Hess Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-6005

#### Western District of Oklahoma

Craig Massey, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-102 Cynthia J. Cary, et al. v. BP Corp. North America, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-155

#### Western District of Tennessee

Diane Foster, et al. v. BP North America Petroleum, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2059

p. 8

#### MDL-1841 -- In re Wells Fargo Loan Processor Overtime Pay Litigation

Motion of defendant Wells Fargo Home Mortgage for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas:

#### Northern District of California

Mary Basore, et al. v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, et al., C.A. No. 3:07-461

#### District of Kansas

Trudy Bowne, et al. v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, C.A. No. 2:06-2020

#### MDL-1842 -- In re Kugel Mesh Hernia Patch Products Liabitity Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Sonia Montiel, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island and motion of plaintiffs Lilyan Kathleen Hall, et al., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama:

# Northern District of Alabama

Lilyan Kathleen Hall, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-480

# Eastern District of Arkansas

Carolene Jean Carter v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1012

#### Western District of Arkansas

Mary Jane Campbell v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-5154

#### Eastern District of California

Daniel Poston, et al. v. Davol, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-32

#### Northern District of Florida

Jane R. Wilson v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-541

p. 9

MDL-1842 (Continued)

### Central District of Illinois

James Daniel Mathien v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2031

# Eastern District of Missouri

Timothy J. Edgar v. Davol, Inc., C.A. No. 4:06-1471

# District of New Jersey

Jenine Von Essen v. C.R. Bard, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-4786

### Eastern District of New York

Thomas D. Hyland, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1054

# Southern District of New York

Sophia Katechis, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-2098

# Northern District of Ohio

Richard H. Sayler, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-765

#### District of Rhode Island

Sonia Montiel, et al. v. Davol, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-64

#### Middle District of Tennessee

George Andrew Luffman v. C.R. Bard, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-243

p. 10

# MDL-1843 -- In re Schering Marketing and Sales Practices Litigation

Motion of plaintiff United American Insurance Company for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

# District of New Jersey

International Brotherhood of Teamsters Local No. 331 Health & Welfare Trust Fund v. Schering-Plough Corp., C.A. No. 2:06-5774

#### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Blue Cross & Blue Shield of Alabama v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-568 United American Insurance Co. v. Schering-Plough Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-702

# MDL-1844 -- In re Air Crash Near Peixoto de Azeveda, Brazil, on September 29, 2006

Motion of plaintiff Zita Swensson de Mattos for centralization of the following actions in a single United States district court:

#### Central District of California

Maria Jose Miranda Bermudes Abreu, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-1296

### Middle District of Florida

Suely de Castro Alves Miranda, etc. v. Joseph Lepore, et al., C.A. No. 6:07-283

#### Eastern District of Missouri

Bianca Pi Hancock, etc. v. ExcelAire Services, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-372

#### Eastern District of New York

Patricia Abrahim Barbosa Garcia, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-5964 Mario De Abreu Lleras, et al. v. ExcelAire Service, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-6083

p. 11

MDL-1844 (Continued)

#### Southern District of New York

Zita Swensson de Mattos, etc. v. ExcelAire Services, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-948

# MDL-1845 -- In re ConAgra Peanut Butter Products Liability Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs Grady Ware, et al., for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia; motion of plaintiffs Thomas B. Price, Annie Blackwell, and Jamie S. Jeffords for centralization of certain of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina; and motion of plaintiff Pamela Gateley, etc., for centralization of certain of the following actions in a single United States district court:

#### Middle District of Florida

Marion Caldarera v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 8:07-384

### Southern District of Florida

Charles Stafford v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 9:07-80178

#### Middle District of Georgia

Karen Klepsig, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-37 Geoffrey Midler v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-42

#### Northern District of Georgia

Anne Cease, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-425 John Harper v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-538 Grady Ware, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-40

#### Northern District of Mississippi

Pamela Gateley, etc. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-35

p. 12

MDL-1845 (Continued)

### Western District of Missouri

Brian Cox, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-6027

# Western District of New York

Mark Avalone, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-6084

### Eastern District of Oklahoma

Irene Clandord v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-56

#### Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Lucille A. Knight v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-818

# Middle District of Pennsylvania

Kathleen Nieves, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-327

#### District of South Carolina

Annie Blackwell v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-529 Thomas B. Price v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-536 Jerry Shawn Medford v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-611 Jamie S. Jeffords v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-530 Jennifer Ann Hoey, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 7:07-544

#### Eastern District of Tennessee

Cynthia Woodlee, et al. v. ConAgra Foods, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-15

#### Western District of Washington

James Winston Daniels, II, et al. v. ConAgra, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-259

p. 13

# MDL-1846 -- In re Trade Partners, Inc., Investors Litigation

Motion of defendants Macatawa Bank Corp. and Macatawa Bank for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma:

# Central District of California

James Lee, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-8009

#### Western District of Michigan

Forrest W. Jenkins, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:03-321

# Western District of Oklahoma

Steven M. Adamson, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-1267 Eddie Elkins, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., C.A. No. 5:07-109

#### Northern District of Texas

Frank V. Bailey, et al. v. Macatawa Bank Corp., C.A. No. 3:06-2193

# MDL-1847 -- In re Tayssoun Transportation, Inc., and Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC, Contract Litigation

Motion of plaintiff Tayssoun Transportation, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas:

#### Northern District of Texas

Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC v. Pacific Motor Transport Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-1922

#### Southern District of Texas

Tayssoun Transportation, Inc. v. Dallas & Mavis Specialized Carrier Co., LLC, C.A. No. 4:06-3463

p. 14

# MDL-1848 -- In re Rembrandt Technologies, LP, Patent Litigation

Motion of defendant CoxCom, Inc., for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware:

#### District of Delaware

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Cablevision Systems Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-635

Coxcom, Inc. v. Rembrandt Technologies, LP, C.A. No. 1:06-721

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. CBS Corp., C.A. No. 1:06-727

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. NBC Universal, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-729

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. ABC, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-730

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Fox Entertainment Group, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-731

# Southern District of New York

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Adelphia Communications Corp., et al., Bky. Advy. No. 1:06-1739

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Adelphia Communications Corp., C.A. No. 1:07-214

# Eastern District of Texas

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Comcast Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:05-443

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Sharp Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-47

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-223

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-224

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-369

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Comcast Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-506

Rembrandt Technologies, LP v. Charter Communications, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-507

# MDL-1849 -- In re C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., Overtime Pay Litigation

Motion of plaintiffs for centralization of the following actions in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois or, in the alternative, the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

#### Northern District of Alabama

Donna Eddy, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-4926

p. 15

MDL-1849 (Continued)

#### Central District of California

Mimi Vuong v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-1428 Nancy Austin, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 8:07-301

#### Eastern District of California

Gladys Garcia, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-157

#### Northern District of California

Kimberly Elam, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-475

#### Northern District of Georgia

Terri Kuvach, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-328

#### Northern District of Illinois

Evelyn Sparks, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6461 Cheryl D. Braithwaite, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6462 Lynn A. Amorose, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6463 Angela L. Jacobson v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6465 Scott D. Hyder, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6503 Anne K. Ciaglia, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6507 Joann M. McGill, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6510 Richard Cahn, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6512 Jason K. Bergquist, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6515 Craig Bowen, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6516 Christopher L. Sims, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6520 Shannon D. Anderson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6527 Nora Hageman, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6530 Brenda Mitchell, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6538 Lynette DiNova, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6545 Joanna Elke, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6552

p. 16

MDL-1849 (Continued)

# Northern District of Illinois (Continued)

Roy Rogenic, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6553 LaToya R. McCants, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6556 Michael Blackburn v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6563 Sharon K. Dodson-McDonald, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6564

Patricia A. Parrish, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6568 Brendan M. Clarke, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6581 Amber Vandersommen, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6582 Leslie Nemelka, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6623 Kari S. Johnson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6629 Ariel B. Crotty, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6630 Wendy L. Ferger, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6632 Laura J. Jeneault, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6634 Heidi Michelle Poepsel v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-6664

#### Southern District of Indiana

Catherine A. Wilcox v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-197

#### **District of Kansas**

Kimberly K. Bethel, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-2129 Lisa M. Quigley, et al v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 6:07-1063

#### Eastern District of Louisiana

Morgan J. Wood, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-1269

#### Eastern District of Michigan

Carla M. Strugala v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-10767

p. 17

MDL-1849 (Continued)

#### District of Minnesota

Jamie L. Benner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4640 Justin Accola, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4643 Jeffrey Cichosz, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4644 William F. Holmberg, Jr. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4645 Elizabeth Marianne Buck, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4646 Penny M. Cantazaro, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4647 Kathy Cota, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4648 Jill K. East, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4649 Kathleen L. Hambleton, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4650 Elizabeth Hopp v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4651 Joann F. Larson v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4652 Kelley S. Lyons, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4653 Kimberly M. Martineau, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4654 Sandra Steinmetz, et al. v.C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4655 John Gino Giovannoni v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-4960 Sally J. Dowden, et al., C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-89 Amelia M. Alfaro, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-90 Jennifer L. Alfano, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-251 Claudia Alicia Martinez, et al. v.C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-542 Jennifer N. Mcinnis v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-544 Beth Shaw, et al v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-595 Shannon Davis v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-1119 Esther Nevarez, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 0:07-1563

#### Eastern District of Missouri

Heather Lee Markle, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-428

#### Northern District of Ohio

Julie Gallagher, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-846

p. 18

MDL-1849 (Continued)

# Western District of Oklahoma

- Timothy J. Bumgarner, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-278 District of Oregon
- Allison M. Cassie, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-333 District of South Carolina
- Rodney Brewer, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-3595 District of South Dakota
- Angela K. Smoot v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-4038 Eastern District of Tennessee
- Carolyn Baker Hall, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-46 Eastern District of Texas
- Aaron Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 4:07-1 Northern District of Texas
- Stephanie Smith, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 3:07-409 Western District of Texas
- Adelita Dickson, et al. v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 5:07-186 Eastern District of Wisconsin
- Kelly K. Hoell v. C.H. Robinson Worldwide, Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-267

p. 21

# **SECTION B** MATTERS DESIGNATED FOR CONSIDERATION WITHOUT ORAL ARGUMENT

# MDL-875 -- In re Asbestos Products Liability Litigation (No. VI)

Oppositions of plaintiffs and defendant Rowan Companies, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania:

#### Western District of Arkansas

Eddie Joe Wooten, et al. v. CertainTeed Corp., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-2004

# Northern District of California

Carla Groce, et al. v. Todd Shipyards Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-241 Geraldine Burton, et al. v. A.W. Chesterton Co., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-702

#### Southern District of Illinois

Jack Franklin v. CSX Transportation, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1058

# Eastern District of Louisiana

Melvin Raymond v. Borden, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-11140

#### Middle District of Louisiana

Teressa Bell, etc. v. Rowan Companies, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-785 Aurelie Breau Waguespack, et al. v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-965

# Western District of Louisiana

Daniel Jarrell v. Franks Petroleum, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 6:06-2190

p. 22

MDL-875 (Continued)

# District of Maryland

Linda Hudson, et al. v. Rapid-American Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-3319

# Southern District of New York

Talbot P. Frawley, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al., C.A. No. 1:06-15395

#### MDL-1335 -- In re Tyco International, Ltd., Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Scott Davis, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Hampshire:

#### Northern District of Illinois

Scott Davis, et al. v. Dennis Kozlowski, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-227

# MDL-1373 -- In re Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., Tires Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Leonie Moise, etc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana:

#### Middle District of Florida

Leonie Moise, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, C.A. No. 2:06-675

p. 23

MDL-1373 (Continued)

Oppositions of defendants Bridgestone Firestone North American Tire, LLC and Ford Motor Company to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following action to the United States District Court for the Western District of Arkansas:

# Southern District of Indiana

Susan Janssen, etc. v. Bridgestone/Firestone North American Tire, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 1:04-5811 (W.D. Arkansas, C.A. No. 2:04-2164)

# MDL-1409 -- In re Currency Conversion Fee Antitrust Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Kyle Sandera to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

#### Northern District of California

Kyle Sandera v. Bank of America Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-34

# MDL-1456 -- In re Pharmaceutical Industry Average Wholesale Price Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff The State of Idaho to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts:

# District of Idaho

State of Idaho v. Abbott Laboratories, C.A. No. 1:07-93

p. 24

# MDL-1566 -- In re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Antitrust Litigation

Motion of defendant Reliant Energy Services, Inc., to transfer the following action to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada:

# Western District of Wisconsin

Arandell Corp., et al. v. Xcel Energy, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-76

# MDL-1596 -- In re Zyprexa Products Liability Litigation

Motions of defendant Eli Lilly & Company to transfer the following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York:

# District of South Carolina

Samuel Davis v. Eli Lilly & Co., C.A. No. 3:06-2312 Kimberly J. Johnson, et al. v. Ricardo Jose Fermo, M.D., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-2994

# MDL-1604 -- In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Mortgage Servicing Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Brown; Linda Fleshman; David Waters, Sr., et al.; Donald Moden, et al.; Annette Miranda; and Stanley C. Beardslee, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

# Eastern District of Texas

Mary Brown v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 1:07-92

# Northern District of Texas

Linda Fleshman v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 4:07-108

# Western District of Texas

David Waters, Sr., et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-61 Donald Moden, et al. v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-62

p. 25

MDL-1604 (Continued)

# Western District of Texas (Continued)

Annette Miranda v. Ocwen Financial Corp., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-34 Stanley C. Beardslee, et al. v. Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC, et al., C.A. No. 5:07-137

# MDL-1626 -- In re Accutane Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of defendant Hoffman-La Roche, Inc., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida:

# Eastern District of Pennsylvania

Darrell W. Borum, Jr. v. Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-94

# MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana:

#### Central District of California

Ruby Lois Moore Estate, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-7548

# Eastern District of California

Mosetta Bernstine v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-34 Katherine Harrison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-42 James Daniels, Jr. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-48 Barbara Ford-Daniels v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-51 Lynn Franklin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-57 Irma Franklin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-58 Carolyn Lee Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-61 Mary Ann Harris v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-67 John Wilson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-68

p. 26

MDL-1657 (Continued)

# Eastern District of California (Continued)

Ishmael Haqq v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-73 David Tenn, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-75 Estate of Juanita Battle v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-77 Denise Denison v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-79

#### Northern District of California

Dorothy Shanks v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-65
Stanford Johnson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-67
Estate of Robert Badke, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-69
Fred Hardin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-75
Jeffrey Nielsen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-76
Juliana Nielsen v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-77
Jacquelyn Johnson v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-78
Arthur Shanks v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-68
Earnestine Hardin v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-70
Shahla Jaferian v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-66
Estate of Sandra Ellis v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-79
Randolph Dossett v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-80
Suzanne Dante v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:07-81

#### Southern District of California

Genevieve Tadman v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-2151 Del Rorer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-10 Carol Krepp v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-11 Charles Krepp v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-12 Teresa Rorer v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-14 Arlene Purvis v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-15

#### Northern District of West Virginia

Helen Jean Anderson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 5:06-151

p. 27

MDL-1657 (Continued)

#### Southern District of West Virginia

Paul Noe, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1004 Leota Faye Dickens v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-1005 Madonna Armentrout, etc. v. Rite Aid of West Virginia, Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-1058

MDL-1657 -- In re Vioxx Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation MDL-1699 -- In re Bextra and Celebrex Marketing, Sales Practices and Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana in MDL-1657 and to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California in MDL-1699:

#### Northern District of Alabama

William D. McCluskey, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:07-232

#### Eastern District of California

Carol Ann Davies, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2768 Lois Hornsby, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2776 Bertha Townsend, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2780 Arthur Bluett, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2787 Lisa Mathews, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2807 Geneva Styles, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2812 Ali Muhilddine, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2814 Elizabeth A. Cochran v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2817 Kevin L. Chaney v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2826 Wendel Vantine, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2851 Barry Dohner, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2853 Jerry Strange v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-2875

#### Southern District of Illinois

Robert J. Smith, Jr., etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-964 Wilburn Williamson, etc. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al., C.A. No. 3:06-1023

p. 28

# MDL-1700 -- In re FedEx Ground Package System, Inc., Employment Practices Litigation (No. II)

Opposition of plaintiff Carlos Quintin Gonzalez to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana:

#### Southern District of Florida

Carlos Quintin Gonzalez v. FedEx Home Delivery, et al., C.A. No. 1:06-22964

#### MDL-1708 -- In re Guidant Corp. Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Mary Alice Miller, etc., and Estefana Silva, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

#### Middle District of Florida

Mary Alice Miller, etc. v. Guidant Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-41

#### Southern District of Texas

Estefana Silva, et al. v. Guidant Corp., et al., C.A. No. 7:07-2

#### MDL-1715 -- In re Ameriquest Mortgage Co. Mortgage Lending Practices Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Rodney S. Means and David L. Murphy, et al., and defendants Dream House Mortgage Corp., Northwest Title & Escrow Corp., and Litton Loan Servicing LP to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois:

# Northern District of Indiana

Rodney S. Means v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 2:06-409

#### District of Massachusetts

Kelly Ann I. Graham, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-40195

p. 29

MDL-1715 (Continued)

# Eastern District of Missouri

Paul W. Derda, et al. v. Ameriquest Mortgage Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-1649

# Eastern District of Texas

David L. Murphy, et al. v. Argent Mortgage Co., LLC, C.A. No. 1:06-781

# MDL-1718 -- In re Ford Motor Co. Speed Control Deactivation Switch Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Company, etc.; David Giorgini, et al.; and Oscar Reyes, et al., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan:

#### Middle District of Florida

Florida Farm Bureau Casualty Insurance Co., etc. v. Ford Motor Co., Inc., C.A. No. 8:06-2307

# Eastern District of Pennsylvania

David Giorgini, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., C.A. No. 2:06-968

#### Southern District of Texas

Oscar Reyes, et al. v. Ford Motor Co., et al., C.A. No. 4:07-165

p. 30

# MDL-1726 -- In re Medtronic, Inc., Implantable Defibrillators Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiff Patricia Kavalir and defendant Medtronic, Inc., to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota:

### Middle District of Florida

Hazel E. Ricciotti, etc. v. Medtronic, Inc., C.A. No. 8:07-233

#### Northern District of Georgia

Claudeena Watt-Austin, et al. v. Boston Scientific Corp., et al., C.A. No. 1:07-293

#### Northern District of Illinois

Patricia Kavalir v. Medtronic, Inc., C.A. No. 1:07-835

# MDL-1742 -- In re Ortho Evra Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiffs Jacci Morrison, et al., to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio:

#### Northern District of California

Jacci Morrison, et al. v. Ortho-McNeil Corp., et al., C.A. No. 3:07-8

# MDL-1760 -- In re Aredia and Zometa Products Liability Litigation

Oppositions of plaintiffs Joan LeCompte, et al., and Beatrice Rios to transfer of their respective following actions to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee:

# District of New Jersey

Joan LeCompte, et al. v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., C.A. No. 2:07-357

#### Southern District of Texas

Beatrice Rios v. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp., et al., C.A. No. 6:07-2

p. 31

# MDL-1763 -- In re Human Tissue Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Sherryl Jean Thornton to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey:

### Northern District of Alabama

Sherryl Jean Thornton v. Michael Mastromarino, et al., C.A. No. 1:06-4899

# MDL-1781 -- In re Cintas Corp. Overtime Pay Arbitration Litigation

Opposition of respondents in all actions to remand, under 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a), of the following actions to their respective transferor courts:

# Northern District of California

Cintas Corp. v. Randall M. Cornelius, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5078 (M.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:06-227)

Cintas Corp. v. Darren Mitchell Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5079 (N.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 2:06-492)

Cintas Corp. v. Ramon J. Baudier, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5080 (S.D. Alabama, C.A. No. 1:06-148)

Cintas Corp. v. Robert J. Abel, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5081 (D. Arizona, C.A. No. 2:06-693)

Cintas Corp. v. Roberto Carlos Alegria, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5082 (C.D. California, C.A. No. 2:06-1750)

Cintas Corp. v. Ronald Arvizu, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5083 (E.D. California, C.A. No. 2:06-611)

Cintas Corp. v. Daniel E. Ainsworth, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5084 (S.D. California, C.A. No. 3:06-632)

Cintas Corp. v. John D. Bickham, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5085 (D. Colorado, C.A. No. 1:06-427)

Cintas Corp. v. Eugene Christensen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5086 (D. Connecticut, C.A. No. 3:06-360)

Cintas Corp. v. Charles Leroy Gray, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5087 (D. Delaware, C.A. No. 1:06-162)

Cintas Corp. v. Alice Allen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5088 (M.D. Florida, C.A. No. 8:06-400)

Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Frazier, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5089 (N.D. Florida, C.A. No. 3:06-103)

p. 32

#### MDL-1781 (Continued)

# Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. David J. Abrahamsen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5090 (S.D. Florida, C.A. No. 0:06-60310)
- Cintas Corp. v. Matthew J. DeFelix, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5091 (M.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-38)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Aybar, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5092 (N.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-569)
- Cintas Corp. v. Joe L. Banks, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5093 (S.D. Georgia, C.A. No. 1:06-35)
- Cintas Corp. v. David DeBilzan, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5094 (D. Idaho, C.A. No. 1:06-104)
- Cintas Corp. v. James Allen Burress, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5095 (C.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1068)
- Cintas Corp. v. Vince Agozzino, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5096 (N.D. Illinois, C.A. No. 1:06-1343)
- Cintas Corp. v. James Atkins, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5097 (N.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 2:06-85)
- Cintas Corp. v. Ryan Albright, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5098 (S.D. Indiana, C.A. No. 1:06-401)
- Cintas Corp. v. Donald Allen Griffin, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5099 (S.D. Iowa, C.A. No. 4:06-91)
- Cintas Corp. v. Matthew L. Blackman, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5100 (D. Kansas, C.A. No. 2:06-2091)
- Cintas Corp. v. Danny L. Brown, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5101 (E.D. Kentucky, C.A. No. 2:06-52)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jason Agostini, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5102 (W.D. Kentucky, C.A. No. 3:06-131)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jack Addison, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5103 (E.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 2:06-1247)
- Cintas Corp. v. Gustave Fontenot, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5104 (M.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 3:06-188)
- Cintas Corp. v. Ivan Edward Avery, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5105 (W.D. Louisiana, C.A. No. 6:06-391)
- Cintas Corp. v. Randall Bowles, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5106 (D. Maine, C.A. No. 2:06-55)

p. 33

# MDL-1781 (Continued)

# Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. Joe Andrews, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5107 (D. Maryland, C.A. No. 8:06-641)
- Cintas Corp. v. Philip Daniel Blaisdell, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5108 (D. Massachusetts, C.A. No. 1:06-10442)
- Cintas Corp. v. Brandon Alioto, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5109 (E.D. Michigan, C.A. No. 2:06-11043)
- Cintas Corp. v. Travis M. Ault, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5110 (W.D. Michigan, C.A. No. 1:06-180)
- Cintas Corp. v. John Callahan, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5111 (D. Minnesota, C.A. No. 0:06-1012)
- Cintas Corp. v. Gregory Cole Bigbee, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5112 (S.D. Mississippi, C.A. No. 3:06-137)
- Cintas Corp. v. Relton Barnes, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5113 (E.D. Missouri, C.A. No. 4:06-450)
- Cintas Corp. v. Randall Adams, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5114 (W.D. Missouri, C.A. No. 4:06-208)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jeffrey Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5115 (D. Nebraska, C.A. No. 8:06-262)
- Cintas Corp. v. Anthony Dean Hamby, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5116 (D. Nevada, C.A. No. 2:06-300)
- Cintas Corp. v. Joseph Allen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5117 (D. New Jersey, C.A. No. 2:06-1164)
- Cintas Corp. v. Tony L. Bostick, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5119 (D. New Mexico, C.A. No. 1:06-185)
- Cintas Corp. v. Troy Amott, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5120 (E.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-1105)
- Cintas Corp. v. Hugh J. Kingsley, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5121 (N.D. New York, C.A. No. 5:06-311)
- Cintas Corp. v. Louis Alves, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5122 (S.D. New York, C.A. No. 1:06-1933)
- Cintas Corp. v. Robert F. Bowles, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5123 (W.D. New York, C.A. No. 6:06-6147)
- Cintas Corp. v. Matthew Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5124 (E.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 5:06-113)

p. 34

# MDL-1781 (Continued)

# Northern District of California (Continued)

- Cintas Corp. v. Gus Aranegui, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5126 (M.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 1:06-225)
- Cintas Corp. v. Jonathan Allred, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5127 (W.D. North Carolina, C.A. No. 3:06-114)
- Cintas Corp. v. Bradley Agler, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5128 (N.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 3:06-7083)
- Cintas Corp. v. Donald Adkins, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5129 (S.D. Ohio, C.A. No. 1:06-126)
- Cintas Corp. v. Robert Hall, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5130 (E.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 6:06-97)
- Cintas Corp. v. Brent Berna, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5131 (N.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 4:06-148)
- Lintas Corp. v. Raymond Mac Harris, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5132 (W.D. Oklahoma, C.A. No. 5:06-247)
- Cintas Corp. v. Dennis Bassett, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5133 (D. Oregon, C.A. No. 6:06-335)
- Cintas Corp v. Kenneth W. Baptist, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5134 (E.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:06-1053)
- Cintas Corp. v. Brian Ash, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5135 (M.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 3:06-517)
- Cintas Corp. v. Christopher Derenzo, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5136 (W.D. Pennsylvania, C.A. No. 2:06-324)
- Cintas Corp. v. Joseph E. Edwards, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5137 (D. Rhode Island, C.A. No. 1:06-112)
- Cintas Corp. v. Thomas Eugene Alert, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5138 (D. South Carolina, C.A. No. 3:06-762)
- Cintas Corp. v. Stephen Barlow, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5139 (E.D. Texas, C.A. No. 1:06-137)
- Cintas Corp. v. Bryan Armstrong, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5140 (N.D. Texas, C.A. No. 3:06-432)
- Cintas Corp. v. Judd Allen, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5141 (S.D. Texas, C.A. No. 4:06-824)
- Cintas Corp. v. Issac Anaya, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5142 (W.D. Texas, C.A. No. 5:06-216)
- Cintas Corp. v. Wade Bell, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5143 (D. Utah, C.A. No. 2:06-205)

p. 35

#### MDL-1781 (Continued)

# Northern District of California (Continued)

Cintas Corp. v. John O. Ansink, Jr., et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5144 (E.D. Virginia, C.A. No. 1:06-267)

Cintas Corp. v. Nelson Carter, Jr., C.A. No. 4:06-5145 (W.D. Virginia, C.A. No. 5:06-23)

Cintas Corp. v. Scott Burgess, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5146 (E.D. Washington, C.A. No. 2:06-3023)

Cintas Corp. v. Michael Anderson, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5147 (W.D. Washington, C.A. No. 2:06-332)

Cintas Corp. v. Nathan J. Andree, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5148 (E.D. Wisconsin, C.A. No. 2:06-303)

Cintas Corp. v. Chris Brown, et al., C.A. No. 4:06-5149 (W.D. Wisconsin, C.A. No. 3:06-133)

# MDL-1789 -- In re Fosamax Products Liability Litigation

Opposition of plaintiff Mary Ellen Potgieter to transfer of the following action to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York:

# District of New Jersey

Mary Ellen Potgieter v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:07-2

# PROCEDURES FOR ORAL ARGUMENT BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

All oral argument is governed by the provisions of Rule 16.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (effective April 2, 2001). Rule 16.1(g) allows a maximum of twenty minutes for oral argument in each matter. In most cases, however, less time is necessary for the expression of all views and the Panel reserves the prerogative of reducing the time requested by counsel. Accordingly, counsel should be careful not to overstate the time requested for oral argument.

The Panel insists that counsel limit all oral argument to the appropriate criteria. See generally In re "East of the Rockies" Concrete Pipe Antitrust Cases, 302 F. Supp. 244, 255-56 (J.P.M.L. 1969) (concurring opinion) (discussion concerning criteria for transfer).

Rule 16.1 is duplicated in its entirety hereafter for your convenience.

# RULE 16.1: HEARING SESSIONS AND ORAL ARGUMENT

- Hearing sessions of the Panel for the presentation of oral argument and (a) consideration of matters taken under submission without oral argument shall be held as ordered by the Panel. The Panel shall convene whenever and wherever desirable or necessary in the judgment of the Chairman. The Chairman shall determine which matters shall be considered at each hearing session and the Clerk of the Panel shall give notice to counsel for all parties involved in the litigation to be so considered of the time, place and subject matter of such hearing session.
- Each party filing a motion or a response to a motion or order of the Panel under Rules 7.2, 7.3, 7.4 or 7.6 of these Rules may file simultaneously therewith a separate statement limited to one page setting forth reasons why oral argument should, or need not, be heard. Such statements shall be captioned "Reasons Why Oral Argument Should [Need Not] Be Heard," and shall be filed and served in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules.
- No transfer or remand determination regarding any action pending in the district court shall be made by the Panel when any party timely opposes such transfer or remand unless a hearing session has been held for the presentation of oral argument except that the Panel may dispense with oral argument if it determines that:
  - the dispositive issue(s) have been authoritatively decided; or
- the facts and legal arguments are adequately presented in the briefs and record, and the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument. Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, all other matters before the Panel, such as a motion for reconsideration, shall be considered and determined upon the basis of the papers filed.
- In those matters in which oral argument is not scheduled by the Panel, counsel shall be promptly advised. If oral argument is scheduled in a matter the Clerk of the Panel may require counsel for all parties who wish to make or to waive oral argument to file and serve notice to that effect within a stated time in conformity with Rules 5.12 and 5.2 of these Rules. Failure to do so shall be deemed a waiver of oral argument by that party. If oral argument is scheduled but not attended by a party, the matter shall not be rescheduled and that party's position shall be treated as submitted for decision by the Panel on the basis of the papers filed.
- Except for leave of the Panel on a showing of good cause, only those parties to actions scheduled for oral argument who have filed a motion or written response to a motion or order shall be permitted to appear before the Panel and present oral argument.
- (f) Counsel for those supporting transfer or remand under Section 1407 and counsel for those opposing such transfer or remand are to confer separately prior to the oral argument for the purpose of organizing their arguments and selecting representatives to present all views without duplication.
- Unless otherwise ordered by the Panel, a maximum of twenty minutes shall be allotted for oral argument in each matter. The time shall be divided equally among those with varying viewpoints. Counsel for the moving party or parties shall generally be heard first.

- 2 -

- (h) So far as practicable and consistent with the purposes of Section 1407, the offering of oral testimony before the Panel shall be avoided. Accordingly, oral testimony shall not be received except upon notice, motion and order of the Panel expressly providing for it.
- After an action or group of actions has been set for a hearing session, consideration of such action(s) may be continued only by order of the Panel on good cause shown.